IND-7820-61.

21 DEC 1961

MEMORAHRAM FOR: Acting Chief, DPD-DD/P

EUBJEET:

Visit to Helio Aircraft Corporation 6 - 9 December 1961

25X1

- 1. The purpose of this trip was to coordinate matters concerning the Helio "Twin" with the Helio Aircraft Corporation (HAC). The following comments pertain:
 - test results as outlined in Attachment 2 to DPD-7341-61.

 There were no apparent objections, and adjusted the delivery date of the No. 1 aircraft to 20 December 1961 in lieu of 15 December.
 - b. FAA was to have sent Mr. Roman Spangler and Mr.
 Bill Morton to HAC during the period of this visit to fly
 the Mo. I sireraft and give an opinion on control and stability, perticularly during take-off and landing. Only
 Mr. Morton arrived; the purpose of his visit was to check
 encepit visibility. He made one short flight, took a number of pictures, and departed without attempting either a
 take-off or landing. Mr. Morton could make no official
 comment, but stated that in his personal opinion cockpit
 visibility was "OK." It is runored that FAA recently
 turned down certification of another new "Twin" due to cockpit visibility.

THE CONT

199-7820-61 Page 2

- e. Progress and testing are continuing satisfactorily. Weather is a major factor in rate of progress, and MAC is pushing hard during the periods of good weather. This time of year, calm surface wind conditions required for take-off and landing measurements are hard to come by in Massachusetts, thereby restricting flight tests to altitude work most of the time.
- d. The operating handbook is being typed in final form. Buring a discussion on the matter, ________ recommended that MAC reproduce copies of the operating, maintenance, and parts manuals by bineprint or equivalent quality process. MAC has this capability and can provide more complete copies by the time No. 1 sircraft is delivered. This would be at a cost of near five dollars a copy versus twenty-five dollars each if reproduced by a more sophistisated manner. This would permit us to incorporate changes and new sections as they are prepared, thereby finalizing each before final printing. It would also give us a better price if MAC delivered the final handbooks for the No. 1 and 2 sircraft at the same time as the handbooks for the follow-on aircraft. The Dornier No. 27 and No. 28 handbooks were printed by a blueprint process.
- e. MAC has recommended that a small factory maintenames team accompany No. 1 sircraft for early operational tests. This suggestion has merit and would assist in getting maximum test time and experience on the aircraft during the evaluation period.
- friefly to see what thoughts and studies HAC had put into the matter. The problem was basic: availability and cost of engines, reduction gear, and propeller. HAC suggested that we also look into the matter as they, without a Defense Department contract, had no priority for a production engine, but we might be able to borrow a pair from one of the envises. Follow-up is intended.
- g. Whi has designed a number of changes that will im-

25X1

199-7820-61 Page 5

tests in Jamuary to determine which combinations are most desirable. (See Attachment 1 for a look at someone else's problems in the megabucks, then HAC's will not seem so severe.)

2. Conclusions:

- Twin is 20 December 1961. Development and testing are progressing satisfactorily. HAC suggested we employ a small fastory maintenance team (2 men) during early use of No. 1 sircraft.
 - b. Development of buffet fix is progressing well.
- c. BPD will look into availability of turboprop engines and propellers, etc., for further feasibility study toward a more powerful "Twin."

Chief, Air Support Branch, DPD-DD/P

25X1

DPD/ASB/ 20 December 1961

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

1 - ASST CH/DPD

1 - DPD/MS

1 - DPD/DB

1 - DPD/RI

2 - DPD/ASB

25X1